

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/028,541	12/19/2001	Richard Joseph Caballero	M-12420-2C US	2447
75	90 03/02/2005		EXAM	INER
Samuel G. Campbell III			O'CONNOR, GERALD J	
c/o Skjerven Morrill MacPherson LLP 25 Metro Drive			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Suite 700 San Jose, CA 95110			3627	
			DATE MAILED: 03/02/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

RECEIVED
MAR 2 2 2005
GROUP 3600,

- 	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/028,541	Caballero et al.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	O'Connor	3627				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE						
Status						
 Responsive to communication(s) filed on November 29, 2004 (Request for Status). This action is FINAL. This action is non-final. Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. 						
Disposition of Claims						
4) ☐ Claim(s) is/are pending in the applicate 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withded 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	rawn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomplicated any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine	epted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. So ion is required if the drawing(s) is of	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a). bjected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some color None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summar Paper No(s)/Mail I 5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:					

Application: 10/028,541 Paper No. 20050224

Art Unit: 3627 Page 2

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restriction

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - Claims 1-15, drawn to a method of electronic shopping comprising an arrangement for remote ordering, classified in class 705, subclass 26.
 - II. Claims 16-30, drawn to a system or arrangement comprising an application of database or data structure, classified in class 707, subclass 104.1.
 - III. Claims 31-44, drawn to a data processing system for generating database or data structure (e.g., via user interface), classified in class 707, subclass 102.
- 2. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Invention I is related to each of Inventions II and III, as process and apparatus for its practice. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that *either*: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another, materially different apparatus, or by hand, *or* (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another, materially different process. (MPEP § 806.05(e)). In this case, the process as claimed can be practiced by another, materially different apparatus, or by hand, such as, by hand.

Inventions II and III are related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct from each other if they are shown to be separately usable. In this case, Invention II has separate utility from Invention III, such as for

Application: 10/028,541 Paper No. 20050224

Art Unit: 3627 Page 3

use when the account number is known and can be typed in directly, rather than selected from a list of accounts. See MPEP § 806.05(d).

- 3. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 4. A telephone call was placed to Mr. Samuel G. Campbell III, Esq. (Reg. No. 42,381), attorney for applicant, on February 24, 2005, to discuss an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but the call did not result in an election being made.
- 5. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement, to be complete, *must* include an election of the invention to be examined, even if the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Conclusion

6. A letter from applicant, inquiring as to the status of the instant application, was received on November 29, 2004, and is hereby acknowledged. While, regrettably, it appears that no response has heretofore been provided, this Office action is presumed to be inherently responsive to that request.

Application: 10/028,541 Paper No. 20050224

Art Unit: 3627 Page 4

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication, or earlier communications, should be directed to the examiner, **Jerry O'Connor**, whose telephone number is (703) 305-1525, and whose facsimile number is (703) 746-3976.

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that on April 14, 2005 the examiner's telephone and facsimile numbers will be changing, to (571) 272-6787 and (571) 273-6787, respectively.

The examiner can normally be reached weekdays from 9:30 to 6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mr. Robert Olszewski, can be reached at (703) 308-5183, or, beginning April 14, 2005, at (571) 272-6788.

Official replies to this Office action may be submitted by any *one* of fax, mail, or hand delivery. **Faxed replies are preferred and should be directed to (703) 872-9306** (not changing). Mailed replies should be addressed to "Commissioner for Patents, PO Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450." Hand delivered replies should be delivered to the "Customer Service Window, Randolph Building, 401 Dulany Street, Alexandria, VA 22314."

GJOC

February 24, 2005

Gerald J. O'Connor

(2-24-05)

Patent Examiner

Group Art Unit 3627